

Lagrangian Formulation of the General Modified Chiral Model

THEODORA IOANNIDOU and WOJTEK ZAKRZEWSKI

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham,

Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Abstract. We present a Lagrangian formulation for the general modified chiral model. We use it to discuss the Hamiltonian formalism for this model and to derive the commutation relations for the chiral field. We look at some explicit examples and show that the Hamiltonian, containing a contribution involving a Wess-Zumino term, is conserved, as required.

In recent years, the modified chiral model in (2+1) dimensions has emerged as a beautiful and powerful mathematical system, possessing soliton solutions with trivial [1] and non-trivial scattering properties [1, 2, 3]. The model possesses many traits of integrable systems such as a linear system [1] and an infinite number of conservation laws [4]. All its studies so far have been purely classical. The time has come to start developing a quantum version of the model. To do this we have, first of all, to find the action of the model and then proceed to its quantisation.

To find the action we note that usually one thinks of the action as given by a space-time integral of a local Lagrangian density, whose variation gives the classical equations of motion. However, since the modified chiral model has been derived by a dimensional reduction of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations in (2+2) dimensions, there is no known Lagrangian formulation for it. Therefore, to have an action we have to include a contribution of the WZW type [5]. A similar situation arises when we want to have an effective theory of OCD [6] or derive a bosonized description of free fermions in two dimensions [5].

In this letter we present a similar description of the general modified chiral model [7], *ie* whose equation of motion is

$$(\eta^{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} V_\alpha) \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu \Psi \Psi^\dagger) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here, Ψ is a map from $\mathbf{R}^{2+1}$ to $SU(2)$ which can be thought of as a 2×2 unitary matrix valued function of coordinates $x^\mu = (t, x, y)$ and where † denotes the hermitian conjugation. Greek indices range over the values 0, 1, 2, ∂_μ denotes partial differentiation with respect to x^μ , $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ is the totally skew tensor with $\varepsilon^{012} = 1$, and V_α is a constant unit vector. Indices are raised and lowered using the Minkowski metric $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$ and V_α is of the form $V_\alpha = (\lambda, 1, \lambda)$. Thus Ψ , a solution of (1), is a function of λ . Note that if we set $\lambda = 0$ (1) reduces to Ward's model [1] and Ψ is independent of λ .

As we have said above (1) cannot be derived from a standard Lagrangian. To see this we note that we would need something like $V_\alpha \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \text{tr}(\partial_\mu \Psi \Psi^\dagger \partial_\nu \Psi \Psi^\dagger)$ but this vanishes, by antisymmetry of $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ and the cyclic symmetry of the trace. Nevertheless, we can find an action for (1) which involves the usual Lagrangian term and a further WZW-like term. This modified action will be then compared with the Hamiltonian version of the model. To derive them we follow the procedure developed in [8] for the self-dual Yang Mills system; thus in addition to the usual term we consider also a Wess-Zumino action (term) [9], *ie*

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^2} dx dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \text{tr}(\partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Psi) + \frac{1}{3} \int_{R^2} dx dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_0^1 d\rho V_i \varepsilon^{ijkl} \text{tr}(\tilde{\Psi} \partial_j \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger \tilde{\Psi} \partial_k \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger \tilde{\Psi} \partial_l \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger). \quad (2)$$

In the second term in (2) Latin indices range over the values 0, 1, 2, 3, with $x^3 = \rho$ and $V_i = (\lambda, 1, \lambda, 0)$. Thus our action (2) is a sum of the action of the principal chiral model and of the Wess-Zumino term, which is locally (but not globally) a total divergence.

The actual form of our three dimensional Wess-Zumino term can be derived by analogy with a similar treatment in four dimensions. To do this the unitary matrix Ψ has to be extended to $\tilde{\Psi}$, a function of (t, x, y, ρ) , where the additional variable ρ satisfies $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$. So, working in Minkowski space, we imagine our space-time to be the surface of a cylinder $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ with an infinitely large base defined by (x, y) and height t . Our extended mapping can be chosen in any way which satisfies the appropriate boundary conditions; a convenient way is to consider it as an extension of the mapping Ψ from $\mathbf{R}^{2+1}$ to $SU(2)$ to the mapping

$\tilde{\Psi}$ of the interior of the cylinder, *ie*

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t, x, y, \rho) = \begin{cases} \Psi(t, x, y), & \rho = 1, \\ \Psi_0 = \text{const}, & \rho = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

To check that we have a correct action we verify that (2) reproduces our equations of motion (1). First we note that the variation of the Wess-Zumino term is a simple local functional. Then we find that the variation of the action S is given by

$$\delta S = \int_{R^2} dx dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \text{tr} \left(\Psi \delta \Psi^\dagger \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu \Psi \Psi^\dagger) \right) + \int_{R^2} dx dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \text{tr} \left(\Psi \delta \Psi^\dagger \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} V_\alpha \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu \Psi \Psi^\dagger) \right). \quad (4)$$

The variational equation is therefore (1), assuming that the variation of the fields at the boundaries vanishes.

Next we derive the canonical formalism for the model. To do this we note that we need a version of the theory with an action that is first order in time derivatives. We note that the new (WZW) part of (2) is *already* in the Hamiltonian form; that is, it is already of the first order in time derivatives. Moreover, as it contains first-order time derivatives, it does not contribute to the Hamiltonian.

To proceed further it is convenient to imagine the ρ integration, for the terms linear in time derivatives in the WZW part of the action, as having been carried out, and so to rewrite the action as

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^2} dx dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left\{ \text{tr} \left(\partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Psi + 2A[\Psi^\dagger] \partial_t \Psi^\dagger \right) + 2\lambda \int_0^1 d\rho \text{tr} \left(\partial_\rho \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger \tilde{\Psi} [\partial_x \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger \tilde{\Psi}, \partial_y \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger \tilde{\Psi}] \right) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

with $A[\Psi^\dagger]$ some unknown matrix-valued function of $\Psi^\dagger$. Note that the action also contains terms independent of the time derivatives; such terms do not contribute to the Poisson brackets.

As in [5, 10] we note that although the form of A is rather complicated, fortunately, we do not need to know $A[\Psi^\dagger]$ itself, but only the antisymmetric tensor

$$F_{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial \Psi_{lk}^\dagger} - \frac{\partial A_{kl}}{\partial \Psi_{ji}^\dagger}. \quad (6)$$

This tensor is explicitly calculable by comparing the variations of the t -dependent Wess-Zumino term with respect to $\Psi^\dagger$ as calculated from (2) and (5), respectively. After some calculation, we find

$$F_{ij,kl} = \Psi^{kj} (\partial_y \Psi - \lambda \partial_x \Psi)^{il} - (\partial_y \Psi - \lambda \partial_x \Psi)^{kj} \Psi^{il}. \quad (7)$$

Considering the Lagrangian density of (2), the momentum conjugate to Ψ_{ij} is given by

$$\Pi_{ij} = \partial_t \Psi_{ij} + A_{ij}. \quad (8)$$

Since, as we have already mentioned, the Hamiltonian does not depend on the unknown function $A[\Psi^\dagger]$, it is convenient to define new momentum variables by

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{ij} \equiv \partial_t \Psi_{ij} = \Pi_{ij} - A_{ij}. \quad (9)$$

It then follows that the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^2} dx dy \left\{ \text{tr} \left((\Psi^\dagger \tilde{\Pi})^2 + (\Psi^\dagger \partial_x \Psi)^2 + (\Psi^\dagger \partial_y \Psi)^2 \right) - 2\lambda \int_0^1 d\rho \text{tr} \left(\partial_\rho \tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger [\partial_x \tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger, \partial_y \tilde{\Psi} \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger] \right) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

The Hamiltonian equations of motion, written in terms of Poisson brackets, are

$$\partial_t \Psi^\dagger = \{H, \Psi^\dagger\}, \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{\Pi} = \{H, \tilde{\Pi}\}, \quad (12)$$

where the Poisson brackets on Ω (*ie* the space of matrix functions of (x, y)) are defined [11]

$$\{f, g\} = \frac{\delta f}{\delta \tilde{\Pi}} \bullet \frac{\delta g}{\delta \Psi^\dagger} - \frac{\delta f}{\delta \Psi^\dagger} \bullet \frac{\delta g}{\delta \tilde{\Pi}} \quad (13)$$

and the scalar product on Ω is defined as $A \bullet B = \int_{R^2} dx dy \text{tr}(AB)$.

Using the properties

$$\{\Psi_{ij}^\dagger, \tilde{\Pi}_{kl}\} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}, \quad \{\tilde{\Pi}_{ij}, \tilde{\Pi}_{kl}\} = -F_{kl,ij}, \quad (14)$$

we observe that the equation (11) reduces to (8), while the equation (12), after substitution of (8), leads to the equation of motion (1). We readily verify that the explicit knowledge of the function $A[\Psi^\dagger]$ is not needed.

As has been shown in [3], solutions of (1) correspond to products of factors called t -dependent unitons. Thus it is interesting to compute the values of the energy for some of these solutions; and, especially, look at the contribution of the extra term in the Hamiltonian. To do so, we have to find a convenient way of computing explicitly the contribution of the Wess-Zumino term. To perform this explicitly we, first of all, consider a 1-uniton field configuration $\Psi = (1 - aR)$, where $a = 2/(1 + \lambda)$. For our extension we

can now use

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t, x, y, \rho) = e^{ib\rho R} \quad (15)$$

$$= 1 + (e^{ib\rho} - 1)R, \quad (16)$$

where

$$b = \arctan \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1}. \quad (17)$$

For this solution, using the complex coordinates and the equations satisfied by the projector R , *ie*

$$\partial_+ R R = 0, \quad (18)$$

we get

$$E_{1-\text{un}} = (8 - 2\lambda b) \int_{R^2} d^2x \operatorname{tr}(\partial_+ R \partial_- R). \quad (19)$$

It is important to note that b is defined only up to the addition of 2π and so, the value of the energy (19) is not uniquely defined; *ie* it depends on the parameter λ . This comes from the possibility of choosing a different extension of Ψ , *ie* which corresponds to a different value of the arbitrary constant b .

The computation of the value of the energy for more general solutions is more complicated. This is because now we have to rely on the continuation involved in the definition of the Wess-Zumino contribution. Thus, if we consider, for example, a solution corresponding to a 2-unton field, we have $\Psi = (1 - aP)(1 - aR)$ where $a = 2/(1 + \lambda)$. Note that for $\lambda = 0$, the energy of this solution is the energy of the principal chiral model in (2+1) dimensions which, as is well known, is conserved and can be computed with ease (cf. [1]-[3]). For the nonvanishing values of λ , our extension becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(t, x, y, \rho) &= K e^{ib\rho P} e^{ib\rho R} \\ &= K \left(1 + (e^{ib\rho} - 1)P\right) \left(1 + (e^{ib\rho} - 1)R\right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where b is given by (17), K is a constant $SU(2)$ matrix. For this solution we can use the equations satisfied by the projector P

$$\partial_t P P + 2i(1 - P) \partial_- R P = 0, \quad P \partial_- P + P \partial_- R (1 - P) = 0. \quad (21)$$

to find that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2-\text{un}} &= (8 - 4\lambda b) \int_{R^2} d^2x \operatorname{tr}(\partial_+ R \partial_- R + [\partial_+ P, \partial_- P](R + P) + [\partial_+ R, \partial_- R]P - P \partial_+ P \partial_- R - P \partial_+ R \partial_- P) \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{\lambda^2 + 1} \int_{R^2} d^2x \operatorname{tr}(P \partial_+ R \partial_- R + P \partial_- R \partial_+ R - 2P \partial_+ R P \partial_- R - [\partial_+ P, \partial_- P]R). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It is easy to check that $E_{2-\text{un}}$ is, as required, a constant of motion. Its λ dependence resides entirely in the prefactors $(8 - 4\lambda b)$ and $8/(\lambda^2 + 1)$. Thus both terms in the energy are conserved independently. Their specific values are difficult to obtain in full generality; however, it is easy to calculate them for some specific solutions (*ie* specific choices of projectors P and R). Thus for $P = (p^\dagger \otimes p)/|p|^2$ for $p = (1 + |z|^2)(1, z) - 2it(\bar{z}, -1)$ and $R = (q^\dagger \otimes q)/|q|^2$ for $q = (1, z)$, where $z = x + iy$, we find

$$E_{2-\text{un}} = (8 - 4\lambda b) \cdot 2\pi + \frac{8}{\lambda^2 + 1} \cdot 0. \quad (23)$$

Note that our energy (Hamiltonian) is different from the expression given in [7]. In fact both expressions have the meaning of energy and both are conserved. The expression given in [7] comes naturally when one considers the energy momentum tensor, our expression (10) arises naturally when one constructs the action for the model. The existence of two, independent, Hamiltonians, is related to the integrability of the model. In fact, integrable models often have many independent Hamiltonians [12] and, not surprisingly, this is the case here as well.

In conclusion - we have derived an action for the generalised chiral model. This action contains a Wess-Zumino like term and it leads to a Hamiltonian which contains a similar contribution. The equations of motion follow naturally from this action or from the Hamiltonian using the standard Poisson brackets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. S. Ward and B. Piette for helpful discussions. TI acknowledges support from EU ERBFMBICT950035.

References

- [1] R. S. Ward, J. Math. Phys. **29**, 386 (1988).
- [2] R. S. Ward, Phys. Lett. A **208**, 203 (1995).
- T. Ioannidou, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 3422 (1996).
- C. Anand, Ward's Solitons II: Exact Solutions, preprint (1996).

- [3] T. Ioannidou and W. J. Zakrzewski, Solutions of the Modified Chiral Model in (2+1) Dimension, Durham preprint DTP-98/3, hep-th 9802122 *J. Math. Phys.* in press (1998).
- [4] T. Ioannidou and R. S. Ward, Phys. Lett. A **208**, 209 (1995).
- [5] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **92** (1984) 455.
- [6] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **223** (1983) 422.
- [7] T. Ioannidou and W. J. Zakrzewski, A Note on Ward's Chiral Model, Durham preprint DTP-98/13, hep-th 9802131, *Phys. Lett. A* in press (1998).
- [8] L. L. Chau and I. Yamanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, (1992) 1807.
- [9] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. B **37**, (1971) 95.
- [10] E. Abdalla and K. D. Rothe, Phys. Rev. D **36**, (1987) 3190.
E. Abdalla and M. C. B. Abdalla, Phys. Rev. D **40**, (1989) 491.
- [11] R. S. Ward, private communication.
- [12] M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, *Soliton, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering* (Cambridge University Press, 1991).